

IceCOLD®

CASE STUDY



Over the past 10 years we have done hundreds of pilots and studies. Below I have listed studies that were all done on Liebert CRAC units. The first study was done at a Data Center in NYC. We tracked the unit of a UPS (uninterrupted power supply) room. The distinctive nature of a UPS room is its constant heat load. It is as close to a lab environment as you can get. It is actually better because it is real world equipment. We data logged the compressors and found them to be running 14% less after treatment.

New York Data Center - 2009

Number of Data points	Current Amps comp 1	Current Amps comp 2	Number of Data points	Current Amps Comp 1	Current Amps Comp 2	
14,948	3,833	4,053	14,945	4,367	4,617	8,984
	94.57%					
		7,885		94.59%		13.92%
	CMP1 TO CMP2 VARIATION off-time					
	same conditions inside as it is a Data Center , where outside conditions such as T & H were hotter after treatment					

Reduce Run Time 14%

The absolute best way to confirm your results is to be able to repeat them.

This led to more pilots at another data center in LA, California.

LAX 10 Data Center - 2010

Where we treated 16 CRAC units at 4 separate suites. The results were a reaffirmation of the results obtained in NYC.

Due to the controlled environment nature, the only true variable that has to be accounted for at a data center is the ambient temperature. Below we have listed the ambient temperature taken from official LAX airport records.

Los Angeles Data Center
LAX 10

Suite	Crac Units	Meter#	AUGUST KW 29 days	September KW 32 days	Percent reduction in usage
330	1-8	18	596.56	549.1997	16.57%
400	13,14,15,42	60,64	57.47	49.51	21.93%
440	3	69	18.07	17.38	12.83%
460	8,9,10	68	23.84	21.45	18.48%
				Weighted AVERAGE	
				=	18.04%

<http://www.wunderground.com/history/>
ZIP CODE 90017

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE
August-September 2010

Temperature	CDD	avg temp
Aug-10	255	72
Sep-10	277	74

Aug-10	Max	Avg	Min.
Max Temperature	97 °F	82 °F	66 °F

Mean Temperature	82 °F	72 °F	65 °F
-----------------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Min Temperature	72 °F	64 °F	60 °F
--------------------	-------	-------	-------

Sep-10

Max Temperature	111 °F	84 °F	72 °F
--------------------	--------	-------	-------

Mean Temperature	94 °F	74 °F	66 °F
-----------------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Min
Temperature 78 °F 64 °F 57 °F

Reduced Kwh by 18%

LAX10 Data Center UPS room - 2010

Since one of the suites at the LA data center was a UPS room we decided to log the return and supply air temperatures as well to monitor the total increase in efficiency.

The reduction in KW used was **only 13%** but the overall efficiency gain was almost 27% because of the almost 2 degree increase in temperature differential (return air – supply air).

Suite 440	UPS Room	Reading	Prior Month	Usage Kwh	Usage Mwh	KW	# of Days	
July	7/29/2010	186559.82	169239.92	17319.9	17.32	24.0554	30	0.58
August	8/27/2010	204629.4	186559.82	18069.58	18.07	25.0966	29	0.62
September	9/28/2010	222009.92	204629.4	17380.52	17.38	24.972	32	0.54
Temperature data loggers placed on supply and return air		Temp diff after	10.04		Diff/Mwh D14/G11	0.58	D14/k11	
							18.48	
		Temp diff B4	8.35		Diff / Mwh	0.46	D17/k10	
					D17/G10			
								Percent usage reduction
								13%
								Increase in Efficiency
								27.50%
								(I15-I17)/I15
								Ambient temp adjustment
								+10%

Switch & Data Data Center - 2010

At the same time we did the pilot in the LA data center we were also doing a pilot on 3 Liebert Crac units with Switch and Data in their data center in Jersey City, NJ.

They had an independent 3rd party, Source One of Boston, Ma. Collect the Kwh data. The results were as follows:

Expr3					KWH		
July 25- July 31	4.35	17.39	CH58	Aug 29- Sept 4	3.79	15.16	
AVG CDD = 15				AVG CDD = 17			
	2.05	8.20	CH57		1.71	6.84	
	2.42	9.66			2.13	8.53	
			KWH	KW15			
			CH58	12.82%			
			CH57	16.59%			
	Comparison						
	KWH & KW15	15%	15%	More Efficient!!!			
				2 CDDs Hotter After!!!			
	TOTAL	20%+	20%+	More Efficient!!!			

The reduction in Kwh used was 13% and 16.5%, respectively. The ambient temperature was 2 CDD (cold degree days) hotter after treatment! Therefore the overall gain in efficiency is increased.

What we have is a clear and conclusive affirmation of an increase in efficiency.

SUMMARY

You might try to say that the first time was a fluke but when we have been able to repeat the pilots and come up with consistently very similar results, time after time, the conclusion one must draw is that treating a cooling system with IceCOLD® increases the efficiency of an AC system. How much? In excess of 15% more often over 18%!

We have been treating equipment since 2001. We have tested IceCOLD® with Intertek and Idemitsu both confirming IceCOLD's compatibility. The data center environment has confirmed IceCOLD®'s ability to work within Data center world.

In addition you will be reducing your GHG emissions annually.